



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,085	10/736,085 12/15/2003		Tsuyoshi Kamitani	04536.029001	3275
22511	7590	03/27/2006	EXAMINER		
OSHA LIANG L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY STREET			MOE, AUNG SOE		
SUITE 2800	NEI SIK	EEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	TX 7701	0		2618	

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		10/736,085		KAMITANI, TSUYOSHI			
UTIC	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Aung S. Moe		2685			
The MAII Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a) This actio	n is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	– action is non-fi	nal.				
3)☐ Since this	application is in condition for allowa	nce except for fo	ormal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
closed in	accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle	, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Clai	ms						
4)⊠ Claim(s) j	1-4 is/are pending in the application.	.)					
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>í</u>	<u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requir	ement.				
Application Papers	5						
9) The specif	ication is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•	ng(s) filed on <u>15 December 2003</u> is/a		ted or b) object	ed to by the Exan	niner.		
Applicant r	nay not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be he	d in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	ent drawing sheet(s) including the correct				FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath o	or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note th	e attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.		
Priority under 35 L	I.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ All b)[1.⊠ Cer	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
•							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Date <u>see attached</u> .	5) <u>[</u> 6) <u>[</u>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ite atent Application (PT0	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/736,085 Page 2

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Regarding claim 1, it is unclear how "a kind" recited in lines 3, 12 and 15 is related to "a

kind" recited in line 2? If there is the same "kind", please change "a kind" recited in lines 3, 12

and 15 to - - said kind - -.

Regarding claim 1, it is unclear how "a kind of operation" recited in lines 13, 16, 19 and

23 related to "a kind of operation" recited in line 7? If there are the same "kind of operation"

please change "a kind of operation" recited in lines 13, 16, 19 and 23 to - - said kind of operation

- - respectively.

Regarding claim 2-4, it is noted that claims 2-4 corresponding to claim 1 and contain

similar problem as discussed above, please correct the similar problem in claims 2-4 as

suggested by Examiner as above.

Due to the (112) rejection as discussed above, claims 1-4 are given broadest reasonable

interpretation for the purpose of rejections.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,085 Page 3

Art Unit: 2685

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itabashi et al. (U.S. 4,731,654) in view of Yamamoto Katsuhisa (Translation of JP 05-197470).

Regarding claim 1, Itabashi '654 receiving device receiving a signal transmitted from an external transmission device (i.e., noted the external device such as elements 24/26 as shown in Figs. 1-4; see col. 3, lines 40+) to execute an operation of a kind corresponding to the kind of the received signal (i.e., noted the kind of received signals provided by the external device 24/26 for adjusting contrast, brightness, hue, and color of the receiver device as discussed in col. 3, lines 50+), comprising:

a receiving unit receiving a signal (i.e., noted the television receiver as shown in Fig. 1) transmitted from said external transmission device (24/26);

a converting unit converting the signal received by said receiving unit into a kind of operation which said receiving device must execute (i.e., noted the receiver's computer 19/20 can convert the signals received from the external device 24/26 for adjusting contrast, brightness, hue, and color of the receiver device; see col. 3, lines 50+, Fig. 3 and col. 6, lines 25+);

a first storage unit storing kinds of said received signals and kinds of operations to be executed in accordance with the kinds of said received signals by said receiving unit in

Art Unit: 2685

association with each other (i.e., noted the memory 21/23 for storing the kinds of operation to be executed based on the received signals from the external device 24/26; see col. 3, lines 55+, col. 4, lines 5+ and col. 5, lines 50+); a storage changing unit changing (i.e., noted that computer 19/20 can change the contents of the storage unit 21/23; see col. 3, lines 40+, col. 4, lines 20+ and col. 5, lines 45+), based on a request from said transmission device (24/26), a correspondence in said first storage unit between a kind of said received signal and a kind of operation to be executed in accordance with the kind of said received signal (i.e., noted the correspondence in the storage unit 21/23 between the received signal form the external device 24/26 and the operation to be executed in the receiver as discussed in col. 5, lines 1+ and col. 6, lines 25);

a second storage unit (Fig. 2, noted the memory 20/31) storing a kind of signal, among the kinds of said received signals, of which correspondence with a kind of operation should not be changed (i.e., noted that the preset value stored in the memory 20/31 cannot be change; see col. 6, lines 15-25), wherein said converting unit converts (i.e., noted the computer 19/20 as shown in Fig. 1; see col. 3, lines 45+ and col. 4, lines 64+) the signal received by said receiving unit into a kind of operation based on said correspondence in said first storage unit (21/23).

Furthermore, it is noted that Itabashi '654 does not explicitly show that "if said request from said external transmission device is intended to change the kind of signal stored in said second storage unit, of which correspondence with the kind of operation should not be changed, said storage changing unit announces, before changing the correspondence in said first storage unit, that the correspondence should not be changed".

Application/Control Number: 10/736,085 Page 5

Art Unit: 2685

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Yamamoto '470. In particular, Yamamoto '470 teaches wherein if said request from said external transmission device (Fig. 1; element 4) is intended to change the kind of signal stored in said second storage unit (i.e., noted the multiple storage units as shown in Fig. 3; see paragraphs 0011+), of which correspondence with the kind of operation should not be changed, said storage changing unit announces, before changing the correspondence in said first storage unit, that the correspondence should not be changed as required by present claimed invention (i.e., noted the announcement is provided to show the user that changes cannot be performed; see paragraphs 0006, 0017 and 0021).

In view of the above, having the system of Itabashi '654 and then given the well-established teaching of Yamamoto '470, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made modify the system of Itabashi '654 as taught by Yamamoto '470, since Yamamoto '470 stated in paragraphs 0024 that such a modification would allow the user to storing new data without updating original data at the time of updating so that useless actuation can be prevented easily.

Regarding claims 2-4, it is noted that claims 2-4 are corresponding to claim 1 as discussed above, thus, claim 1 is rejected for the same reason as discussed above for claim 1 (see above).

Art Unit: 2685

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Following references are related to present claimed invention:

5,757,414	US005434626A	US005157496A	US006757030B2
20050190295A1	US005434626A	US005774187A	US006037969A

US 20020113896A1

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aung S. Moe whose telephone number is 571-272-7314. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aung S. Moe
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2685

A. Moe March 20, 2006